Xinying Zhang,* Zhangxin Wang, Xuesen Fan,* and Jianji Wang

School of Chemist[ry](#page-6-0) and Chemical Engineering, Collabor[ativ](#page-6-0)e Innovation Center of Henan Province for Green Manufacturing of Fine Chemicals, Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Media and Reactions, Ministry of Education, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [In this paper](#page-6-0), a novel methodology for the synthesis of ketones via microwave irradiation promoted direct alkylation of aldehydes by activation of the inert $C(sp^3) - H$ bond has been developed. Notably, the reactions were accomplished under metal-free conditions and used commercially available aldehydes and cycloalkanes as substrates without prefunctionalization. By using this novel method, an alternative synthetic approach toward the key intermediates for the preparation of the pharmaceutically valuable oxaspiroketone derivatives was successfully established.

■ INTRODUCTION

Ketones constitute one of the most important classes of organic compounds. The significance of ketones has stimulated extensive studies to develop novel methods for their preparation.¹ As an aldehyde is structurally close to a ketone and there are numerous aldehydes commercially available or readily obta[in](#page-6-0)able, ketones have been frequently prepared from aldehydes in both academic and industrial arenas. In most cases, this protocol is realized through an initial addition of organometallic agent to aldehyde followed by an oxidation of the alcohol intermediate (Scheme 1a).² While this approach is

Scheme 1. One- vs Two-Step Synth[es](#page-6-0)is of Ketone from Aldehyde

generally reliable and efficient, it often suffers from tedious operation procedures and the necessity of using air/moisturesensitive organometallic reagents. Therefore, to develop new synthetic methods to prepare ketones from aldehydes through a one-step procedure without using labile organometallic reagents remains an attractive but still challenging task (Scheme $1b)$.

Meanwhile, the development of more sustainable transfor[ma](#page-7-0)tions is an extensively pursued objective for the synthetic community. In this regard, an appealing strategy to replace the existing tedious multistep protocols to meet the criteria of both atom economy and step economy is through C−H activation as

this strategy usually uses the abundant and cheap hydrocarbon compounds as the starting materials without "pre-activation". 4 Among various versions of C−H activation and the following functionalizations developed so far, C−C bond formation vi[a](#page-7-0) $C(sp³)$ –H activation deserves special attention as alkanes could be readily converted into the corresponding alkyl radicals in the presence of peroxide or other radical inductors.^{5,6} In this aspect, Zhu recently developed a metal-free cascade alkylation of Nphenyl-N-tosylmethacrylamide with simple al[kan](#page-7-0)es based on a radical process.⁷ Wei reported a novel copper-catalyzed direct alkenylation of simple alkanes with styrenes to give (E)-alkylsubstituted alk[en](#page-7-0)es (Scheme 2a). 8 Han revealed a metal-free oxidative $C(sp^3)$ -H bond activation of alkanes and conjugate addition to chromones (Scheme $2b$).⁹ Han has also developed

Received: August 6, 2015 Published: October 12, 2015 pubs.acs.org/joc

a novel synthesis of cycloallyl esters from cycloalkanes and aromatic aldehydes via copper-catalyzed $C(sp^3)-H$ bond activation (Scheme 2c).¹⁰ Promoted by those pioneering studies and based on the fact that radical processes are valued for their ex[cellent fun](#page-0-0)ct[ion](#page-7-0)al group tolerance and inherent ability to be integrated into one-pot cascade processes, we have developed a novel synthesis of aryl cycloalkyl ketones via direct alkylation of aryl aldehydes with simple alkanes in the presence of peroxide under microwave irradiation (MWI) through a radical pathway (Scheme 2d). Herein, we wish to report the results of this study.

RESULTS A[ND](#page-0-0) [DISCU](#page-0-0)SSION

Our study was initiated by treating benzaldehyde (1a) with cyclohexane (2a) in the presence of di-tert-butyl peroxide (TBP) at 120 °C for 24 h. However, the formation of the expected cyclohexyl(phenyl)methanone (3a) was not observed (Table 1, entry 1). When the reaction temperature was elevated

Table 1. Optimization for the Synthesis of $3a^a$

^aReaction conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (10 mL), N_2 . ^bIsolated yield.
"Under 365 nm cold LED Under 365 nm cold LED.

to 140 °C, 3a could be obtained in a yield of 5% (entry 2). Further studies found that the efficiency improved along with increasing amounts of TBP (entries 3−5). Next, azodiisobutyronitrile (AIBN), benzoyl peroxide (BPO), tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), and dicumyl peroxide (DCP) were also tried. However, they were found to be less effective than TBP (entries 6−9). Considering the fact that previous studies have identified some beneficial effects of copper salts on the radical reactions of alkanes, 11 we then tried a combination of TBP with CuBr or $Cu(OTf)_2$ as the inductor. Unfortunately, the yield of 3a did not improv[e i](#page-7-0)n both cases (entries 10−11). Similarly disappointing was the addition of tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI) as an additive (entry 12). Inspired by the fact that MWI has been used as an efficient heating method in a broad variety of chemical reactions and there appears to be good grounds to expect a synergistic alliance of homolytic and MWI methods as reviewed by McBurney, 12 the reaction of 1a and 2a was then tried under MWI conditions. We were very pleased to find that the yield of 3a could be [im](#page-7-0)proved to 58% when the reaction was run in the presence of TBP under MWI at 180 °C for 1 h (entry 16). Lower temperatures, shorter/longer reaction period, or using AIBN, BPO, TBHP, or DCP to replace TBP led to decreased yield (entries 13−22). In order to study the effect of metal salts on this reaction under MWI, it was also carried out in the presence of $Cu(OTf)_{2}$, $CuBr_{2}$, $CuBr$, CuI , FeCl₂·4H₂O,¹³ or FeCl₃·6H₂O. However, no obvious improvement in the yield of 3a was observed (entries 23−28). It was also found t[hat](#page-7-0) a combination of TBHP with $FeCl₂·4H₂O$ did not give positive result (entry 29). 13 Moreover, 365 nm cold light-emitting diodes (LED) were used to irradiate the reaction mixture for 1.5 h. It was found that [un](#page-7-0)der these conditions, the reaction did occur with or without TBP. However, the yields were relatively poor compared with those obtained under MWI (entries 30−31). In summary of our optimization study, 3a could be obtained in 58% yield by treating 1a with 2a in the presence of TBP under MWI at 180 °C for 1 h.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then studied the scope of this ketone forming reaction. First, different aryl aldehydes were tested by using cyclohexane (2a) as a model substrate. The results listed in Table 2 showed that aldehydes bearing various functional groups including methyl, methoxy, fluoro, chloro, bromo, and trifl[uorome](#page-2-0)thyl on the phenyl ring took part in this reaction smoothly to give the expected ketone products in moderate yields. It was noted that substrates with electron-donating group (EDG) gave higher yield than those bearing electron-withdrawing group (EWG). The relatively lower yield of 3l indicated that steric hindrance might also play a role. Notably, halide groups were well compatible with the reaction conditions to allow further structural elaboration of the ketone products.

Next, the alkane substrate was extended to cyclopentane (2b). It turned out that the reactions of 2b with aryl aldehydes bearing various functional groups on the phenyl ring proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding aryl cyclopentyl ketones (3m−3v, Table 3) in moderate yields. Similar to those of 2a, reactions of 2b with aryl aldehydes bearing EDG on the phenyl ring were [general](#page-2-0)ly more efficient than those bearing EWG. Furthermore, cycloheptane $(2c)$ and cyclooctane $(2d)$ were also tried, and they took part in this ketone forming reaction smoothly to give products 3w−3bb with similar efficiency as those of 2a and 2b.

Furthermore, the reaction of *n*-hexane $(2e)$ as an example of acyclic alkane with 4-methoxy benzaldehyde (1f) was also tried.

Table 2. Substrate Scope for the Preparation of 3 $(I)^a$

^aReaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2a (10 mL), TBP (2 mmol), MWI, 180 °C, N₂, 1 h. Isolated yield.

Table 3. Substrate Scope for the Preparation of 3 $\text{(\text{II})}^a$

It turned out that the ketone forming reaction did occur albeit three regio-isomers were obtained as shown in Scheme 3. From

these results, it was concluded that the activity of secondary H toward acylation was much higher than that of primary H. The

Scheme 3. Reaction of 4-Methoxybenzaldehyde with n-Hexane

Scheme 4. Literature Procedure Leading to Oxaspiroketone

slightly higher yield of C2 acylation product compared with that of C3 acylation product should be an inflection of the steric effect.

As a further aspect, it has been reported that 3Hspiro[benzofuran-2,1′-cyclohexan]-3-one (H, Scheme 4) is as a key building unit of potential drugs for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease.¹⁴ Recent studies revealed that this oxaspiroketone derivative could be prepared through the triflation of 2-hydrox[yp](#page-7-0)henyl cyclohexyl ketone (F) followed by an intramolecular triflate migration and ring closure of the in situ formed enol triflate intermediate.¹⁵ As a key intermediate, F was prepared by reacting Weinreb amide D, derived from cyclohexyl carboxylic acid (B), with [o](#page-7-0)rganometallic reagent C obtained from 2-bromomethoxybenzene (A). While this elegant protocol is currently used by the drug development teams as an efficient and reliable methodology, its sustainability could be compromised by limited atom and step economy as the preparation of F involved a highly reactive organometallic reagent, and there were a lot of atoms of the substrates not assembled into F.

With the aim to develop a more sustainable synthetic approach toward 2-hydroxyphenyl cyclohexyl ketones by taking advantage of the ketone formation method developed in this paper, 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1m) was treated with 2a under the standard reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 16). To our delight, the reaction afforded 2-hydroxyphenylcyclohexyl methanone (3ff) in a yield of 4[5% \(Sch](#page-1-0)eme 5). Following study revealed that 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (1n) was an equally suitable substrate to give (5-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl) (cyclohexyl) methanone (3gg) in 43% yield. As a further aspect, 1m and 1n were found to be also able to react with 2b to afford the desired 2-hydroxyphenylcyclopentylmethanone (3hh) and (5-bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl) (cyclopentyl) methanone (3ii) in yields of 40% and 41%, respectively.

To get some insight into the mechanism of this aldehyde alkylation process, some control experiments were carried out. First, 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine oxide (TEMPO) as a radical

Scheme 5. An Alternative Procedure for the Preparation of 2-Hydroxyphenyl Ketone

scavenger was added in the reaction of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (1f) with cyclohexane (2a). Under this circumstance, the aldehyde alkylation process was completely inhibited, and the formation of 3f was not observed (Scheme 6). This study suggests that the formation of 3f should involve a single electron-transfer (SET) process.

Second, an intermolecular competi[ng](#page-4-0) [kinetic](#page-4-0) isotope effect (KIE) experiment was conducted by treating 4-methoxybenzaldehyde $(1f)$ with a mixture of cyclohexane $(2a)$ and $[D]$ cyclohexane $([D]-2a)$ under standard conditions as shown in Scheme 7. Consequently, a significant KIE was observed with k_H/k_D = 4.56 (the KIE value was determined based on ¹H [NMR spe](#page-4-0)ctroscopy studies through analyzing the ratio of 3f and $[D]-3f$). This result indicates that the C(sp³)-H bond cleavage may be one of the rate-determining steps of this ketone formation reaction.

Based on the above results and previous reports, $7-10$ a plausible mechanism for the formation of 3a from the reaction of 1a and 2a was proposed in Scheme 8. Initially, homo[lysis](#page-7-0) of the O−O bond in TBP under the assistance of MWI generates the tert-butyoxyl radical. The f[ollowing h](#page-4-0)ydrogen abstraction of 2a by the tert-butyoxyl radical forms the cyclohexyl radical and tert-butyl alcohol. Next, addition of the cyclohexyl radical onto the carbonyl group of 1a leads to the formation of alcohoxyl

Scheme 6. Control Experiment (I)

Scheme 8. Plausible Mechanism for the Formation of 3a

$$
{}^t\text{BuO-O'Bu} \longrightarrow {}^t\text{BuO} \cdot \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c}\text{2a} \\ \text{PuCHO (1a)} \end{array}} \bigcirc
$$

radical I. Finally, abstraction of a hydrogen radical from I by another tert-butyoxyl radical gives the ketone product 3a.

As shown in Tables 1−3, an excess amount of cycloalkane (2) is usually utilized to act as both substrate and reaction medium in the [ketone for](#page-1-0)[ma](#page-2-0)tion reactions. Therefore, how well to recover and reuse the excess cycloalkane is crucial in determining the sustainability of this protocol. To check the reusability of the excess cyclohexane, the resulting mixture from the reaction of 1a (0.5 mmol) and 2a (10 mL) was distillated under reduced pressure to recover 9.2 mL of 2a. Then, the recovered 2a was treated with 1a (0.5 mmol) under standard conditions to give 3a in a yield of 57%. The recycle test was then carried out three more times, and with the recovered 2a (8.5 mL, 7.6 mL, 6.6 mL), 3a was obtained in yields of 55%, 56%, and 53%, respectively.

Finally, in order to showcase the applicability of this ketone forming reaction, a larger scale preparation of cyclohexyl(4 methoxyphenyl)methanone (3f) was carried out. Thus, 5 mmol of 1f (0.68 g) was treated with cyclohexane (2a, 55 mL) under standard conditions. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was distillated under reduced pressure to recover 2a. From the residue, 3f was separated in a yield of 62%. Furthermore, when the reaction was run with an enlarged scale of 10 mmol (1.36g of 1f), 3f could be obtained in a yield of 55%.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a novel methodology for the synthesis of ketones via direct alkylation of aldehydes with simple alkanes by activation of the inert $C(sp^3)$ –H bond has been developed in this paper. Notably, the ketone formation reactions were realized under metal-free conditions and used commercially available aldehydes and alkanes without prefunctionalization. Interestingly, by using this method, an alternative synthetic approach toward the key intermediates for the preparation of the pharmaceutically valuable oxaspiroketone derivatives was successfully established. With advantages such as economical starting materials, excellent atom economy, and applicability to

a wide range of substrates, we foresee this protocol being useful in expanding the scaffold space of ketone derivatives as versatile intermediates in synthetic chemistry.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. All the commercial reagents and solvents were used without further purification. ${}^{1}\text{H}$ and ${}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR spectra were determined as CDCl₃ solutions. Chemical shifts were expressed in parts per million (δ) downfield from the internal standard tetramethylsilane and were reported as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), quint (quintuplet), dd (doublet of doublet), tt (triplet of triplet), m (multiplet), etc. The coupling constants J were given in Hz. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a time-offlight (microTOF) mass spectrometer. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel plates (silica gel 60 F254 0.25 mm), and components were visualized by observation under UV light (254 and 365 nm). MWI promoted reactions were performed in a commercial microwave reactor (XH-200A, Beijing Xianghu Science and Technology Development Co. Ltd., Beijing, China).

A Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Cyclohexyl(phenyl) *Methanone (3a)*. To a reaction tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar were added benzaldehyde (1a, 51 μ L, 0.5 mmol), TBP (380 μ L, 2 mmol), and cyclohexane (2a, 10 mL). The tube was then flushed with nitrogen, sealed, and put into a glycerol bath inserted with an external sensor to measure the temperatures during microwave heating in the cavity of a microwave synthesis apparatus. It was then irradiated at 180 °C for 1 h. Upon completion, the resulting mixture was distillated under reduced pressure to recover 2a for reuse. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100) to give cyclohexyl(phenyl) methanone (3a). 3b−3ii were obtained in a similar manner.

Cyclohexyl(phenyl)methanone (3a).¹⁶ Eluent: ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:100); white solid (54.5 mg, 58%); mp: 55−56 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.[27](#page-7-0)–1.56 (m, 5H), 1.74–1.77 (m, 1H), 1.84−1.92 (m, 4H), 3.28 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.54–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.95–7.97 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 25.9, 26.0, 29.4, 45.6, 128.3, 128.6, 132.7, 136.4, 203.9. MS: m/z 211 [MNa]⁺. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{13}H_{16}ONa$ [M + Na]⁺ 211.1093, found: 211.1084.

Cyclohexyl(2-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3b).¹⁷ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (67.6 mg, 62%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.22−1.44 (m, 5H), 1[.66](#page-7-0)−1.70 (m, 1H), 1.78−1.82 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.93 (m, 2H), 3.19 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (td, J₁ = 7.6 Hz, $J_2 = 0.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.50 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.6$ Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 26.0, 26.1, 28.8, 50.1, 55.6, 111.3, 120.7, 129.1, 129.8, 132.4, 157.6, 207.4. MS: m/z 241 [MNa]⁺ .

(3-Chlorophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanone (3c).¹⁸ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (45.5 mg, 41%); 1 H NMR (400 MHz) δ: 1.29−1.55 (m, 5H), 1.[74](#page-7-0)−1.78 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.91 (m, 4H), 3.21 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, $J =$ 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 25.8, 25.9, 29.3, 45.8, 126.3, 128.4, 129.9, 132.7, 135.0, 138.0, 202.7. MS: m/z 245 [MNa]⁺. .

(3-Bromophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanone (3d).¹⁹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (55.9 mg, 42%); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.27−1.52 (m, 5[H\),](#page-7-0) 1.74−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.90 (m, 4H), 3.21 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.36 $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.69 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.87 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 8.07 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 25.8, 25.9, 29.3, 45.7, 123.0, 126.7, 130.2, 131.3, 135.6, 138.0, 202.5. MS: m/z 289 [MNa]⁺ .

Cyclohexyl(p-tolyl)methanone (3e).²⁰ Eluent: ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish solid (57.6 mg, 57%); mp: 62− 63 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ[: 1](#page-7-0).26−1.55 (m, 5H), 1.73− 1.77 (m, 1H), 1.83–1.90 (m, 4H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 3.26 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.86 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H). 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 21.6, 25.9, 26.0, 29.5, 45.5, 128.4, 129.3, 133.8, 143.6, 203.6. MS: m/z 225 [MNa]⁺ .

Cyclohexyl(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3f).²¹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish solid (71.9 mg, 66%); mp: 64–65 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.[26](#page-7-0)–1.56 (m, 5H), 1.73−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.83−1.89 (m, 4H), 3.23 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 6.94 (dt, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.95 (dt, $J_1 = 8.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.8$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 25.9, 26.0, 29.6, 45.3, 55.4, 113.7, 129.3, 130.5, 163.2, 202.5. MS: m/z 241 $\left[$ MNa $\right]$ ⁺. .

Cyclohexyl(4-fluorophenyl)methanone (3g).²⁰ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (46.4 mg, 45%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.26−1.55 (m, 5H[\), 1](#page-7-0).74−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.90 (m, 4H), 3.19−3.26 (m, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.97−8.00 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.8, 25.9, 29.4, 45.6, 115.7 (d, ²/_{C−F} = 22.3 Hz), 130.9 (d, ³/_{C−F} = 8.7 Hz), 132.70 (d, ⁴L = 2.4 Hz), 165.6 (d, ¹L = 2.52.5 Hz), 202.3 MS: m/z, 229 J_{C-F} = 2.4 Hz), 165.6 (d, J_{C-F} = 252.5 Hz), 202.3. MS: m/z 229 $[MNa]$ ⁺. .

(4-Chlorophenyl)(cyclohexyl)methanone (3h).²⁰ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish solid (53.3 mg, 48%); mp: 62–63 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1[.26](#page-7-0)–1.54 (m, 5H), 1.74−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.84−1.88 (m, 4H), 3.22 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.8, 25.9, 29.4, 45.6, 128.9, 129.7, 134.7, 139.3, 202.6. MS: m/z 245 [MNa]⁺. .

(4-Bromophenyl)(cyclonexyl)methanone (3i).²⁰ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish solid (61.2 mg, 46%); mp: 77–78 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1[.26](#page-7-0)–1.54 (m, 5H), 1.74−1.77 (m, 1H), 1.83−1.88 (m, 4H), 3.21 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.8, 25.9, 29.3, 45.6, 127.9, 129.9, 131.9, 135.0, 202.9. MS: m/z 289 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclohexyl(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)methanone (3j).²² Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (46.1 mg, 36%); ¹ H NMR (400 MHz) δ: 1.27−1.56 (m, 5H), 1.75[−](#page-7-0)1.78 (m, 1H), 1.85−1.92 (m, 4H), 3.23−3.29 (m, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 25.7, 25.9, 29.2, 46.0, 123.7 (q, ${}^{1}J_{C-F} = 270.8 \text{ Hz}$), 125.7 (q, ${}^{3}J_{C-F} = 3.9 \text{ Hz}$), 128.6, 134.0 $\left(q, {}^{2}J_{C-F} = 32.6 \text{ Hz}\right)$, 139.1, 202.9. MS: m/z 279 [MNa]^{+} .

Cyclohexyl(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (3k).²³ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish solid (79.4 mg, 64%); mp: 47−48 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.26−[1.5](#page-7-0)7 (m, 5H),

1.73−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.84−1.88 (m, 4H), 3.25 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 6.90 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (s, 1H), 7.59 (dd, $J_1 = 8.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.2$ Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.9, 26.0, 29.7, 45.2, 56.0, 56.1, 109.9, 110.6, 122.6, 129.6, 149.4, 153.0, 202.7. MS: m/z 271 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclohexyl(2,4-dimethoxy-6-methylphenyl)methanone (3l). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish solid (66.8 mg, 51%); mp: 61–62 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.20–1.31(m, 3H), 1.35−1.44 (m, 2H), 1.66−1.68 (m, 1H), 1.77−1.80 (m, 2H), 1.88−1.91 (m, 2H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 2.84 (tt, $J_1 = 11.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 6.31 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 1H). 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ: 19.9, 25.9, 26.0, 28.3, 51.8, 55.3, 55.6, 96.0, 107.0, 124.3, 137.7, 158.1, 160.8, 210.8. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}O_3Na$ $[M + Na]^+$ 285.1461, found: 285.1469.

Cyclopentyl(phenyl)methanone (3m).¹⁶ Eluent: ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish solid (48.7 mg, 56%); mp: 140− $141 °C$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: [1.6](#page-7-0)4−1.78 (m, 4H), 1.91− 1.96 (m, 4H), 3.74 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.50 (m, 2H), 7.54− 7.58 (m, 1H), 7.98–8.00 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 26.3, 30.0, 46.4, 128.48, 128.52, 132.7, 136.9, 202.9. MS: m/z 197 \lceil MNa \rceil^+ . .

Cyclopentyl(2-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3n).¹⁹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (62.2 mg, 61%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.52–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.[64](#page-7-0)–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.84−1.89 (m, 4H), 3.71 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 6.95 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.99 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (td, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, $J_1 = 7.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.6$ Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.1, 29.6, 51.2, 55.6, 111.4, 120.6, 129.5, 129.9, 132.6, 157.8, 206.7. MS: m/z 227 [MNa]⁺. .

 $Cyclopenty/(p-tolyl)methano'ne (30).²⁴ Eluent: ethyl acetate/$ petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (52.7 mg, 56%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.63−1.76 (m, 4[H\),](#page-7-0) 1.90−1.95 (m, 4H), 2.43 $(s, 3H)$, 3.71 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 21.5, 26.3, 30.0, 46.2, 128.6, 129.2, 134.5, 143.4, 202.5. MS: m/z 211 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclopentyl(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3p). 24 Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish solid (62.3 mg, 63%); mp: 60−61 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.62[−](#page-7-0)1.70 (m, 2H), 1.72−1.78 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.94 (m, 4H), 3.68 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.3, 30.1, 46.0, 55.4, 113.6, 130.0, 130.7, 163.2, 201.5. MS: m/z 227 [MNa]⁺. .

(3-Chlorophenyl) (cyclopentyl)methanone (3q).²⁵ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellow liquid (40.6 mg, 39%); $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.65−1.76 (m, 4H), 1.[90](#page-7-0)−1.96 (m, 4H), 3.67 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dt, J₁ = 8.0 Hz, 1₂ J₂ = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.3, 29.9, 46.5, 126.5, 128.6, 129.8, 132.7, 134.9, 138.5, 201.6. MS: m/z 231 [MNa]⁺. .

(3-Bromophenyl) (cyclopentyl)methanone (3r).¹⁹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (47.9 mg, 38%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.64−1.76 (m, 4H), 1.[89](#page-7-0)−1.95 (m, 4H), 3.66 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dt, J₁ = 8.0 Hz, 1₂ + 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H). 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.3, 29.9, 46.5, 122.9, 127.0, 130.1, 131.6, 135.6, 138.7, 201.4. MS: m/z 275 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclopentyl(4-fluorophenyl)methanone (3s). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (41.3 mg, 43%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.62−1.78 (m, 4H), 1.90−1.93 (m, 4H), 3.68 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.00−8.03 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 26.3, 30.0, 46.3, 115.6 (d, ²J_{C−F} $= 22.2$ Hz), 131.1 (d, ${}^{3}J_{C-F} = 9.6$ Hz), 133.3 (d, ${}^{4}J_{C-F} = 3.6$ Hz), 165.5 $(d, 'J_{C-F} = 252.5 Hz),$ 201.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}$ FONa $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 215.0843, found: 215.0848.

 $(4$ -Chlorophenyl)(cyclopentyl)methanone $(3t)$.²⁶ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (45.8 mg, 44%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.62−1.78 (m, 4[H\),](#page-7-0) 1.91−1.95 (m, 4H), 3.67 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.3, 29.9, 46.3, 128.8, 129.9, 135.2, 139.2, 201.6. MS: m/z 231 [MNa]⁺. .

(4-Bromophenyl)(cyclopentyl)methanone $(3u)$.²⁷ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (55.4 mg, 44%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.65−1.76 (m, 4[H\),](#page-7-0) 1.89−1.95 (m, 4H), 3.67 (quint, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.85 (d, $J =$ 8.4 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 26.3, 29.9, 46.3, 127.8, 130.0, 131.8, 135.6, 201.7. MS: m/z 275 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclopentyl(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)methanone (3v).²⁸ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (72.6 mg, 62%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) *δ*: 1.65−1.74 (m, 4H[\), 1](#page-7-0).89−1.94 $(m, 4H)$, 3.69 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 6.90 $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.57 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 7.61 \text{ (d, } J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}).$ ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ: 26.3, 30.3, 45.9, 55.9, 56.0, 109.9, 110.6, 122.9, 130.1, 149.0, 153.0, 201.5. MS: m/z 257 [MNa]⁺. .

Cycloheptyl(phenyl)methanone (3w).²⁹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish solid (47.5 mg, 47%); mp: 170− 171 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: [1.](#page-7-0)55−1.73 (m, 8H), 1.79− 1.84 (m, 2H), 1.92−1.97 (m, 2H), 3.42−3.49 (m, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 26.8, 28.3, 30.8, 46.6, 128.3, 128.6, 132.7, 136.5, 204.3. MS: m/z 225 [MNa]⁺. .

Cycloheptyl(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3x).³⁰ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (61.5 mg, 53%); $^1\rm H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.53−1.72(m, 8H), 1.[79](#page-7-0)−1.84 (m, 2H), 1.89−1.96 (m, 2H), 3.37−3.43 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.95 (dt, J¹ = 9.6 Hz, $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.94 (dt, $J_1 = 9.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.9, 28.3, 31.0, 46.3, 55.5, 113.7, 129.3, 130.6, 163.2, 203.0. MS: m/z 255 [MNa]⁺. .

(4-Bromophenyl)(cycloheptyl)methanone (3y). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (56.0 mg, 40%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.54−1.69 (m, 8H), 1.79−1.83 (m, 2H), 1.90−1.95 (m, 2H), 3.35−3.42 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.80−7.82 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.7, 28.3, 30.7, 46.6, 127.7, 129.9, 131.9, 135.1, 203.2. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}BrONa$ [M + Na]⁺ 303.0355, found: 303.0359.

Cyclooctyl(phenyl)methanone["] (3z).³¹ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (54.0 mg, 50%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.60−1.70 (m, 8H), [1.7](#page-7-0)5−1.81 (m, 4H), 1.85−1.92 (m, 2H), 3.47−3.53 (m, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 25.5, 26.59, 26.61, 29.0, 44.9, 128.3, 128.6, 132.7, 136.5, 204.6. MS: m/ z 239 [MNa]⁺. .

Cyclooctyl(4-methoxyphenyl)methanone (3aa).³² Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (71.4 mg, 58%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.56–1.69 (m, 8H), 1.[74](#page-7-0)–1.89 (m, 6H), 3.42−3.48 (m, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 6.95 (dt, J_1 = 9.6 Hz, J_2 = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.94 (dt, $J_1 = 9.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 2.4$ Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.6, 26.61, 26.63, 29.3, 44.5, 55.5, 113.7, 129.3, 130.6, 163.2, 203.2. MS: m/z 269 [MNa]⁺. .

(4-Bromophenyl)(cyclooctyl)methanone (3bb). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:100); yellowish liquid (67.6 mg, 46%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.59−1.66 (m, 8H), 1.73−1.89 (m, 6H), 3.39−3.46 (m, 1H), 7.59−7.63 (m, 2H), 7.79−7.82 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 25.4, 26.5, 26.6, 28.9, 45.0, 127.8, 129.9, 131.9, 135.2, 203.4. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₁₅H₁₉BrONa $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 317.0511, found: 317.0528.

2-Ethyl-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)pentan-1-one (3cc), 2-Ethyl-1-(4 methoxyphenyl)pentan-1-one (3dd),³³ and 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)heptan-1-one (3ee).³⁴ All were obtained from the reaction of 1f with 2e as an unseperable mixture. The mo[lar](#page-7-0) ratio of 3cc, 3dd, and 3ee is 8:10:1, which was [det](#page-7-0)ermined by ${}^{1}H$ NMR. Eluent: ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether (1:50); colorless liquid (66.0 mg, 60%). 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.85–0.89 (m, 4H), 1.17–1.19 (m, 1.5H), 1.24−1.31 (m, 3.5H), 1.42−1.58 (m, 1.5H), 1.72−1.81 (m, 1.5H), 2.91 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 0.11H), 3.30–3.37 (m, 0.41H), 3.43 (sext, J = 6.8 Hz, 0.53H), 3.85−3.87 (m, 3H), 6.92−6.97 (m, 2H), 7.94−7.99 (m, 2H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 12.0, 14.0, 14.1, 14.3, 17.4, 20.8, 22.6, 22.8, 24.6, 25.6, 29.1, 29.7, 31.7, 33.7, 34.5, 38.3, 40.1, 47.0, 55.4, 113.66, 113.73, 113.74, 129.8, 130.3, 130.4, 130.5, 130.9, 163.30, 163.33, 203.2, 203.3. HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calcd for C₁₄H₂₀NaO₂ $[M + Na]$ ⁺ 243.1361, found: 243.1375.

Cyclohexyl(2-hydroxyphenyl)methanone (3ff).³⁵ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (45.9 mg, 45%); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.26−1.61 (m, 5H), 1[.76](#page-7-0)−1.79 (m, 1H), 1.87−1.92 (m, 4H), 3.32 (tt, $J_1 = 11.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.91 (t, $J =$ 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 12.61 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 25.78, 25.85, 29.6, 45.2, 118.3, 118.7, 118.9, 129.8, 136.3, 163.2, 210.2. MS: m/z 227 [MNa]⁺. .

(5-Bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl) (cyclohexyl)methanone (3gg). 36 Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish solid (60.6 mg, 43%); mp: 82−83 °C; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.24−1[.63](#page-7-0) (m, 5H), 1.77−1.80 (m, 1H), 1.88−1.91 (m, 4H), 3.21−3.26 (m, 1H), 6.91 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 12.53 (s, 1H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 25.6, 25.8, 29.5, 45.2, 110.4, 119.5, 120.8, 132.0, 138.8, 162.2, 209.3. MS: m/z 305 [MNa]⁺ .

Cyclopentyl(2-hydroxyphenyl)methanone (3hh).³⁶ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(1:50)$; yellowish liquid $(38 \, \text{mg}, \, 40\%)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.67−1.80 (m, 4H), 1.[94](#page-7-0)−1.99 (m, 4H), 3.77 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.90–6.94 (m, 1H), 7.00 (dd, J₁ = 8.0 Hz, $J_2 = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.45−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.82 (dd, $J_1 = 8.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 12.56 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 26.3, 30.3, 46.0, 118.5, 118.8, 119.1, 130.3, 136.0, 162.9, 209.3. MS: m/z 213 $[MNa]$ ⁺. .

(5-Bromo-2-hydroxyphenyl) (cyclopentyl)methanone (**3ii**).³⁶ Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:50); yellowish liquid (54.9 mg, 41%); ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 1.71−1.77 (m, 4H), 1.[94](#page-7-0)−1.97 $(m, 4H)$, 3.69 (quint, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 $(d, J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.90 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 12.46 \text{ (s, 1H)}.$ ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 26.3, 30.3, 46.0, 110.4, 120.2, 120.6, 132.4, 138.7, 162.0, 208.5. MS: m/z 290 [MNa]⁺. .

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01824.

Mechanism studies, copies of $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra [\(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org)

■ A[UTHO](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01824/suppl_file/jo5b01824_si_001.pdf)R INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: xinyingzhang@htu.cn *E-mail: xuesen.fan@htu.cn

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:xuesen.fan@htu.cn)[pe](mailto:xinyingzhang@htu.cn)ting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (grant nos. 21272058, 21172057), Program for Innovative Research Team in Science and Technology in University of Henan Province (15IRTSTHN003), Program for Science and Technology Innovation Talents in Universities of Henan Province (15HASTIT005) for financial support.

ENDINE REFERENCES

(1) (a) Kim, J.-G.; Mishra, M. K.; Jang, D. O. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 3527. and references cited therein. (b) Sumino, S.; Ui, T.; Ryu, L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3142. (c) Lee, D.; Ryu, T.; Park, Y.; Lee, P. H. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1144. (d) Xie, L.; Wu, Y.; Yi, W.; Zhu, L.; Xiang, J.; He, W. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 9190. (e) Gu, L.; Jin, C.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 8453. (f) Du, P.; Li, H.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, J.; Wan, X. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 6350. and references cited therein. (g) Zhao, M.-N.; Ran, L.; Chen, M.; Ren, Z.-H.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Guan, Z.-H. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1210.

(2) (a) Smith, M. B.; March, J. In March's Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and Structure, 5th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 2001. (b) Veerasamy, N.; Carlson, E. C.; Collett, N.

D.; Saha, M.; Carter, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4779. (c) Uto, Y.; Ogata, T.; Yohei, K.; Ueno, Y.; Miyazawa, Y.; Kurata, H.; Deguchi, T.; Watanabe, N.; Konishi, M.; Okuyama, R.; Kurikawa, N.; Takagi, T.; Wakimoto, S.; Ohsumi, J. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 341.

(3) For recent examples on one-step synthesis of ketones from aldehydes, see: (a) Wu, Y.; Li, B.; Mao, F.; Li, X.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3258. (b) Schmidt, B.; Elizarov, N.; Schilde, U.; Kelling, A. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 4223.

(4) (a) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 788. (b) Song, G.; Wang, F.; Li, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 3651. (c) Chen, K.; Hu, F.; Zhang, S.-Q.; Shi, B.-F. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3906. (d) Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 6173.

(5) (a) Zhang, S.-Y.; Zhang, F.-M.; Tu, Y.-Q. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1937. and references cited therein. (b) Roizen, J. L.; Harvey, M. E.; Du Bois, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 911. and references cited therein. (c) Xie, J.; Pan, C. D.; Abdukadera, A.; Zhu, C.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5245 and references cited therein..

(6) (a) Pitts, C. R.; Bloom, S.; Woltornist, R.; Auvenshine, D. J.; Ryzhkov, L. R.; Siegler, M. A.; Lectka, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9780. (b) Sha, W. X.; Yu, J.-T.; Jiang, Y.; Yang, H. T.; Cheng, J. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 9179. (c) Wang, L.; Sha, W. X.; Dai, Q.; Feng, X. M.; Wu, W. T.; Peng, H. B.; Chen, B.; Cheng, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2088.

(7) Zhang, H.; Pan, C.; Jin, N.; Gu, Z.; Hu, H.; Zhu, C. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1320.

(8) Zhu, Y.; Wei, Y. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 2379.

(9) Zhao, J.; Fang, H.; Qian, P.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 5342.

(10) Zhao, J.; Fang, H.; Han, J.; Pan, Y. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2530.

(11) Guo, S.; Yuan, Y.; Xiang, J. New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 3093.

(12) McBurney, R. T.; Portela-Cubillo, F.; Walton, J. C. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 1264.

(13) Zhang, Y.; Li, C.-J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 2007, 4654.

(14) (a) Ghosh, A. K.; Osswald, H. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6765. (b) Dillard, L. W.; Yuan, J.; Leftheris, K.; Venkatraman, S.; Wu, G.; Jia, L.; Xu, Z.; Cacatian, S.; Morales-Ramos, A.; Singh, S.; Zheng, Y. Inhibitors of β-secretase. WO 2011106414 A1, September 1, 2011. (c) Cacatian, S.; Claremon, D. A.; Dillard, L. W.; Fuchs, K.; Heine, N.; Jia, L.; Leftheris, K.; McKeever, B.; Morales-Ramos, A.; Singh, S.; Venkatraman, S.; Wu, G.; Wu, Z.; Xu, Z.; Yuan, J.; Zheng, Y. Inhibitors of β-secretase. WO 2010105179 A3, November 11, 2010.

(15) (a) Bøgevig, A.; Federsel, H.-J.; Huerta, F.; Hutchings, M. G.; Kraut, H.; Langer, T.; Löw, P.; Oppawsky, C.; Rein, T.; Saller, H. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 357. (b) Coe, J. W.; Bianco, K. E.; Boscoe, B. P.; Brooks, P. R.; Cox, E. D.; Vetelino, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9964.

(16) Feng, Q.; Song, Q. L. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 1867.

(17) Roche, M.; Hamze, A.; Provot, O.; Brion, J. D.; Alami, M. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 445.

- (18) Dar, D. E.; Thiruvazhi, M.; Abraham, P.; Kitayama, S.; Kopajtic, T. A.; Gamliel, A.; Slusher, B. S.; Carroll, F. I.; Uhl, G. R. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 40, 1013.
- (19) Qi, S.-B.; Li, M.; Li, S.; Zhou, J.-N.; Wu, J.-W.; Yu, F.; Zhang, X.- C.; Chan, A. S. C.; Wu, J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 929.

(20) Gutierrez-Bonet, A.; Flores-Gaspar, A.; Martin, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12576.

- (21) Rao, M. L. N. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 12917.
- (22) Takemiya, A.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14800.

(23) Niyazov, A. N. Izv. Akad. Nauk Turkm. SSR, Ser. Fiz.-Tekh., Khim. Geol. Nauk 1978, 62.

(24) Roy, A. H.; Lenges, C. P.; Brookhart, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2082.

- (25) Akhrem, I. S.; Churilova, I. M.; Orlinkov, A. V.; Afanas'eva, L. V.; Vitt, S. V.; Petrovskii, P. V. Russ. Chem. Bull. 1998, 47, 918.
- (26) Allwood, D. M.; Blakemore, D. C.; Ley, S. V. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3064.

(27) Rennison, D.; Conole, D.; Tingle, M. D.; Yang, J.; Eason, C. T.; Brimble, M. A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 6629.

(28) Moffett, R. B.; Hanze, A. R.; Seay, P. H. J. Med. Chem. 1964, 7, 178.

(29) Gandeepan, P.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8569.

(30) Matzke, M.; Mohrs, K. H.; Raddatz, S.; Fruchtmann, R.; Hatzelmann, A.; Kohlsdorfer, C.; Mueller-Peddinghau, R.; Theisen-Popp, P. Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 525571 A1 19930203, 1993.

(31) Starck, J.-P.; Provins, L.; Christophe, B.; Gillard, M.; Jadot, S.; Lo Brutto, P.; Quere, L.; Talaga, P.; Guyaux, M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 2675.

(32) Taylor, H. M.; Jones, C. D.; Davenport, J. D.; Hirsch, K. S.; Kress, T. J.; Weaver, D. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1359.

(33) Shen, D.; Poole, D. L.; Shotton, C. C.; Kornahrens, A. F.; Healy, M. P.; Donohoe, T. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1642.

(34) Parella, R.; Naveen; Kumar, A.; Babu, S. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 1738.

(35) Choy, P. Y.; Kwong, F. Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 270.

(36) Yurchenko, N. N.; Niyazov, A. N.; Vakhabova, Kh. D.; Atlyev,

Kh. A.; Khodzhaeva, V. L.; Berezhnoi, V. G. Izv. Akad. Nauk Turkm. SSR, Ser. Fiz.-Tekh., Khim. Geol. Nauk 1983, 74.